STAKEHOLDER MEETING TO DISCUSS PROGRESS ON STUDY OF MERCED RIVER RESTORATION IN YOSEMITE VALLEY

November 1, 2017, 1:00pm -- 4:30pm Center for Collaborative Policy 815 S Street, 1st Floor, Large Conference Room, Sacramento, CA, 95811

If participating via webinar, please register early at:

https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/5049972630293470722. After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the webinar.

-- AGENDA --

Meeting Purpose: Update stakeholders on progress of the study and invite input related to next steps

Objectives:

- Develop shared understanding of the river study findings to date;
- Cooperatively develop criteria for evaluating mitigation measures designed to reduce the hydrological and geomorphic impacts of Sugar Pine Bridge (the "defined criteria for success," per MSRP, p. 8-215).

Time	Topic, Objective, and Activity	Materials	Lead
1:00 pm	 Welcome Welcome Meeting purpose and context Review objectives Review agenda How to participate in the room and remotely Review ground rules Plans for meeting summary 	Agenda Ground rules	Joe Meyer, NPS, Division Chief of Resources Management & Science Marci DuPraw, CCP Facilitator
1:15 pm	 Merced River Study Findings: Presentation and Facilitated Questions and Answers Objective: Update participants on study findings to date Summary from prior presentations Study context Study scope, approach, and team Schedule and study status Findings regarding: Characteristics and condition of the Merced River Identified influences on riverine and riparian health Remaining work to complete these assessments 	Power point presentation slides (copy for attendees with room for notes)	Derek Booth, Bren School, University of California, Santa Barbara; Marci DuPraw, CCP Facilitator

Time	Topic, Objective, and Activity	Materials	Lead
2:30	BREAK	Light	
pm		refreshments	
2:45	Participant Input: Presentation and Facilitated Discussion		Derek Booth, Bren
pm	Objective: elicit suggestions from participants regarding		School,
	criteria to use in evaluating alternative ways of reducing the		University of
	impacts of Sugar Pine Bridge.		California, Santa
	Presentation		Barbara;
	 What are the documented impacts throughout the 		
	project area?		Marci DuPraw, CCP
	 Examples of methods used elsewhere to improve 		Facilitator
	riverine and riparian conditions		
	• Examples of potential "criteria for success" that could be		
	applied		
	Facilitated discussion		
	 Participant responses and suggestions for additional evaluation criteria 		
4:15	Next Steps and Action Items		Greg Stock, NPS
pm	Objective: ensure accountability and demonstrate that input		Project Manager;
	has been heard and considered		
			Marci DuPraw, CCP
			Facilitator
4:30	<u>Adjourn</u>		All
pm			